

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/805,333	03/12/2001	Subramanian Meiyappan	CISCP675	1800
26541	7590 11/18/2004		EXAMINER	
	ANG & KAPLAN TOGA AE. SUITE DI		DO. CHAT C	
SARATOGA, CA 95070			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2124	

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8

•	:	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
-	Advisory Action	09/805,333	MEIYAPPAN, SUBRAMANIAN			
	•	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Chat C. Do	2124			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 20 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
	PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706:07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) Ithey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See below</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See below</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
Т	he status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
(Claim(s) allowed:	•				
(Claim(s) objected to:					
(Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19 and 21</u> .					
(Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. ☐ Other:						
_						
	:	•				
	;					

Part 2(a): The applicant amended all independent claims 1, 7 and 13 by inserting more limitations "communication channel being part of a communication network" and "wherein the communication network is arranged to implement access to the Internet" in lines 3-6 which raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search prior making a creditable decision. In addition, the applicant also added a new claim 21 which would require a new search.

Part 5(c): Based on the original claim language, the cited reference by Koopman clearly discloses the method for generating a random value. Koopman discloses in Figures 1-2 a method for generating a random value (output of crypto-secure bit hashing) method comprising: monitoring a signal obtained from a communication channel (microphone collects data from fan in Figure 2), signal including additive noise (inherently); sampling signal to generate a random value (25 in Figure 1); and storing random value (42).

KAKALI CHAKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100